

## STATE OF DELAWARE

## **Division of Development Disabilities Services Task Force**

## **Meeting Minutes – November 20, 2019**

- 1 Senator Stephanie L. Hansen, Co-Chair, called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. Those present
- 2 were Representative Kendra Johnson, Co-Chair, Marissa Catalon, Deputy Director for the
- 3 Division of Development Disabilities Service (DDDS), Laura Strmel, Director of Employment
- 4 Services at St. John's, Bianca Allegro, Director of Delaware Mentor, Terri Hancharick, Chair of
- 5 the Advisory Council for DDDS, Vice-Chair of the State Council for Persons with Disabilities,
- 6 Kyle Hodges, Policy Director for the State Council for Persons with Disabilities, Rita M. Landgraf,
- 7 University of Delaware and former Cabinet Secretary for Department of Health and Social
- 8 Services, Allan R. Zaback, Wilmington University, Senator Anthony Delcollo, via telephone, Gary
- 9 Cassedy, Vice-President of Programs with Easter Seals, Michele Mirabella, Director of Residential
- 10 Services for Chimes Delaware. Roy LaFontaine, III and Representative Kevin S. Hensley were
- 11 absent. A quorum was met.
- 12 Co-Chair Hansen asked for introductions of those in attendance and the organization they
- 13 represent. She then stated that Lydia Massey, the Director of Boards & Commissions from the
- Governor's Office, agreed to try and fill the vacancy on the task force with a self-advocate. Task
- 15 force members were instructed to direct interested individuals to contact Mark Brainard.
- 16 Terri Hancharick asked if the advocate had to be a client of DDDS. Co-Chair Hansen stated that
- was not specifically detailed in the Resolution, so the individual would not have to be a client of
- 18 DDDS.
- Rita Landgraf reiterated her offer to mentor the advocate so they could get caught up as soon as
- 20 possible.
- A motion was made to approve the November 6, 2019 minutes as presented by Gary Cassedy. The
- 22 motion was seconded by Rita Landgraf. All in favor, no opposed, the motion carried, 8-0.
- 23 Representatives Kendra Johnson & Kevin S. Hensley, Senator Anthony Delcollo, Michele
- 24 Mirabella and Roy LaFontaine, III were not present for the vote.
- 25 Co-Chair Hansen moved to item number 3 on the agenda, an update on the task force
- 26 Subcommittees.
- 27 Laura Strmel, Chair of the Substantiated Incidents Subcommittee, stated that the subcommittee
- 28 met at 10am on November 20, 2019. Katie Howe and Saundra Hale, both from DDDS, attended
- 29 the meeting. The subcommittee had requested an updated incident data report from DDDS which
- 30 was provided and reviewed by the subcommittee members. From this discussion, two additional

- 31 requests were made: DDDS provide the total number of open reports compared to the total number
- 32 of substantiated incidents reports for fiscal year 2018 and clarification on the number of entities
- put on probation as a direct result of substantiated incidents. Ms. Howe and Ms. Hale stated that
- 34 they could produce both documents requested. The subcommittee asked for this clarification
- 35 because there was some indication that a significant increase of substantiated incidents occurred
- 36 from 2017-2018.
- 37 Laura Strmel stated that the subcommittee would like the task force to consider a recommendation
- 38 requesting more data be included in the annual reports on substantiated incidents. This additional
- data should include site/setting information, type of service provider, the number of people served
- at the site, and the number of provider staff present on the shift during the incident.
- 41 A discussion was held by the task force to finalize the language in the recommendation prior to a
- 42 motion and vote. Bianca Allegro stated an issue may be tracking the staffing at the site given the
- 43 way the database was currently set up.
- 44 Laura Strmel clarified that provider staff was being requested. For example, if an incident occurred
- with someone receiving the wrong medication at the wrong time, the new information gathered
- 46 would include how many staff members were present at the location when the incident occurred.
- With the understanding that this information was not easy to collect, the subcommittee agreed it
- 48 was worth trying to collect.
- 49 Gary Cassedy asked if this change in data collection was just for the life of the task force. Laura
- 50 Strmel stated that this would be on-going. Gary Cassedy stated that he was unaware that an annual
- report was produced, and even though it was currently fiscal year 2020, the subcommittee was
- 52 looking to receive data from fiscal year 2018.
- Marissa Catalon clarified that information for fiscal years 2018 and 2019 has been produced and
- submitted by DDDS.
- Gary Cassedy asked that the data collected as a result of this recommendation be available for the
- 56 public.
- 57 Marissa Catalon clarified that the fiscal year 2019 data was different from previous years in how
- 58 the information was being reported. In fiscal year 2019 there was a differentiation between
- 59 substantiated incidents and substantiated incidents where the provider was not at fault. The fiscal
- year 2019 data was a subset of the total number of substantiated incidents.
- 61 Laurel Strmel handed out the cumulative report dating back to fiscal year 2016 produced by
- 62 DDDS. Given that fiscal year 2017 contained data that was collected manually, DDDS stated some
- of that data may not be completely accurate.
- 64 The first recommendation read, "Going forward, when DDDS collects data on substantiated
- 65 incidents, the data should be stratified by the site/setting of the substantiated incident, the type of

- service provider, the number of people served at the site, and the number of provider staff present
- on the shift during the incident. Additionally, the definition of site will be a determined by DDDS
- and the providers at the monthly provider advisory meeting." Laura Strmel motioned that the
- 69 recommendation be adopted. Terri Hancharick seconded the motion. All in favor, no opposed with
- 70 Marissa Catalon abstaining, the motion carried 9-0-1. Representative Kevin S. Hensley, Senator
- Anthony Delcollo, Roy LaFontaine, and Michele Mirabella not present for the vote.
- 72 The second recommendation read, "The annual substantiated incidents report outlined in the
- November 20, 2019 recommendation approved by the DDDS Task Force will be made available
- 74 for public consumption and posted on the DDDS website." The motion was made by Rita Landgraf
- and seconded by Laurel Strmel. All in favor, no opposed with Marissa Catalon abstaining, the
- 76 motion carried 9-0-1. Representative Kevin S. Hensley, Senator Anthony Delcollo, Roy
- The Tapontaine, and Michele Mirabella not present for the vote.
- 78 Kyle Hodges asked what the annual report was capturing, and what the information would be used
- 79 for.
- 80 Marissa Catalon clarified that the information in the report was based off the language in line
- 81 number 25 of Senate Concurrent Resolution 62, and this was the document provided to the
- subcommittee and the task force was the model for future annual reports, which would include the
- information requested by the subcommittee and outlined in the resolution.
- 84 Gary Cassedy asked if these statistics include all incidents involving DDDS recipients regardless
- of setting and who was providing the service. Marissa Catalon confirmed. Gary Cassedy stated
- 86 that they should be careful of the language being used to accompany this data, specifically the
- 87 general term of providers. Marissa Catalon clarified that the data was published in this fashion
- 88 because DDDS is a service provider. Gary Cassedy said it should be clearer because that is not
- 89 common knowledge among the public at large, just those affiliated with this process.
- 90 Co-Chair Hansen moved to an update from the Direct Support Professionals Subcommittee,
- 91 chaired by Co-Chair Johnson, which last met on Monday November 18, 2019. As the
- 92 subcommittee was waiting for requested data from DDDS, there were no recommendations to
- 93 consider. DDDS had contacted providers about turnover rate data as well as wage data and were
- 94 waiting for the updated information to review. An availability poll had been sent out to
- 95 subcommittee members for agreeable dates, but no future meeting had been scheduled yet.
- 96 Co-Chair Hansen continued with an update from the Structure/Leadership Subcommittee, chaired
- 97 by Allan Zaback. The subcommittee met on November 20, 2019, and while there were no
- 98 recommendations to consider at this time, some will materialize during the December meetings.
- 99 Since the subcommittee was unable to acquire the results from the Voice of DHSS survey, the
- focus had shifted to the possible creation of client surveys and how the information collected would
- be disseminated and presented. Three additional questions were sent to DDDS, and the
- 102 subcommittee was waiting for that information. Chair Zaback asked the members of the

- subcommittee to brainstorm the top three items that they would like part of the recommendations
- to the task force. The next subcommittee meeting was scheduled for December 4, 2019 at 10 a.m.
- 105 Co-Chair Hansen asked Holly Vaughn Wagner, JLOSC attorney to clarify the issue surrounding
- the Voice of DHSS survey results. Holly Vaughn Wagner stated that it was unclear at this moment
- if DHSS could share the information requested from the survey and advised that because DHSS
- employees were told that their responses would be kept confidential, it would be possible that
- survey results from DDDS could identify employees as there are responses about age and other
- demographic information. The goal of the DHSS survey was to improve the relationship between
- 111 DHSS and their employees. Additionally, DHSS committed to respondents that the information
- was being used strictly for their strategic planning purposes and providing it to an outside entity
- would be changing the agreement after the fact.
- A member of the public asked if there was a way to provide responses from DDDS employees
- without including any identifying information.
- Holly Vaughn Wagner stated that she was unsure if this was possible, but DHSS would still be
- changing the terms of the agreement originally made to employees who participated. Additionally,
- in the email sent to DHSS employees about the survey, it stated "All responses will go directly to
- HMA and will be maintained in the highest confidentiality."
- 120 LJ Thomas asked if DHSS could give a synopsis of how employees responded.
- 121 Co-Chair Hansen would like to have someone from the DHSS Secretary's Office attend the next
- meeting to discuss why the information cannot be provided and clarify if the information was being
- given to division directors across the departments.
- Allan Zaback expressed frustration that he was not able to receive this information as it served a
- purpose for strategic planning within the department and division. He believes there were at least
- 126 fifteen questions on the survey that would be relevant to the work the subcommittee was
- 127 conducting.
- 128 Co-Chair Hansen agreed and believed that the subcommittee and task force should consider a
- recommendation that permits the division to perform a confidential climate survey of employees.
- Bianca Allegro asked about the number of employees that took the survey and agreed that the
- information collected should be shared with the heads of the division so that action could be taken.
- Marissa Catalon stated that the survey was to help with the DHSS strategic planning process. The
- information shared with DDDS from the survey was used to help to inform that overall strategic
- 134 plan.

- 135 Senator Delcollo added that at the DHSS reorganization committee meeting, he and Senator Nicole
- Poore inquired about the contents and language of the survey and the meaning behind asking some
- of the questions posed.
- 138 Co-Chair Hansen stated that, given some of the overall membership and subject matter, an update
- on the DHSS reorganization committee would be added on task force agendas for all future
- meetings.
- 141 Co-Chair Hansen moved on to the update from the Regulations Subcommittee chaired by Senator
- Delcollo. Dates were sent out to members of the subcommittee asking for availability. Based on
- the responses, the first meeting would be held on December 2, 2019 in the conference room of the
- 144 Carvel Office Building in Wilmington. The time would be confirmed as soon as possible. This
- 145 first meeting would be an overview of the proposed regulations. Senator Delcollo would like a
- second meeting to be held in December, with a third meeting held in early January.
- 147 Co-Chair Hansen moved on to the update from the Prevailing Service Delivery Issues
- Subcommittee chaired by Michelle Mirabella. The last meeting was November 15, 2019. The
- subcommittee discussed several issues including risk mitigation and providers being required to
- take individuals when unable to provide the proper services. Additionally, public comments
- provided by Thomas Cook were reviewed. The subcommittee submitted four recommendations
- with four case studies as supplemental information. The first recommendation read, "PROBIS
- must review each plan that is electronically submitted provided that the plan is submitted two
- 154 weeks prior to the review date." The second recommendation read, "PROBIS should no longer be
- allowed to not approve Behavior Support Plans." The third recommendation read, "PROBIS
- 156 Chairperson(s) should be required to have a minimum of several years of experience writing and
- implementing behavior support plans in community adult services settings. BCBA accreditation
- would be the national standard for this position." The fourth recommendation read, "PROBIS
- needs to return to what it was intended to be, a Peer review committee."
- 160 Co-Chair Hansen asked if a representative from DDDS had attended any of the subcommittee
- meetings. Michele Mirabella stated that while no one from the Division had attended any of the
- meetings in person, the subcommittee had been communicating via email with Stacy Watkins from
- DDDS with positive results.
- Subcommittee member LJ Thomas offered insight on an issue he has been having for several
- months. Specifically, providers being unable to support or implement a plan until approved by
- DDDS. However, meanwhile, the patient was still in the care of the provider.
- Marissa stated that DDDS was working with the Behavioral Consultative Providers to review the
- process. One suggestion to improve the PROBIS process was to add providers to the group, and
- 169 establish the minimum qualifications required.

- 170 LJ Thomas states that there should be an emergency intervention given the critical delay within
- the PROBIS process.
- 172 Terry Olson agreed, inquiring if it would be feasible for an independent expert with the necessary
- credentials be brought in to address the backlog and expedite the process.
- Michele Mirabella stated that a discussion was had by the subcommittee regarding the need to hire
- additional qualified individuals to help with the backlog of 100 applicants.
- Marissa Catalon stated that the added PROBIS hearing dates in December should ensure the plans
- would be approved by December 31, 2019.
- Michele Mirabella clarified that all plans may not be approved by December 31<sup>st</sup> because a plan
- may be found to not be suitable for the individual.
- 180 Co-Chair Hansen stated that any changes or amendments to a plan should happen during the review
- to avoid an outright rejection, adding additional time to the process, and leaving an individual
- without a plan.
- Michele Mirabella suggested a recommendation that a desk review occur before the PROBIS
- hearing while expanding the peer group to assist with the backlog of 100 applications.
- 185 Co-Chair Hansen suggested language that behavior support plans must be desk reviewed by DDDS
- in the two weeks prior to the meeting with PROBIS and minor technical issues must be
- communicated back to the provider within three working days of the PROBIS hearing.
- 188 Thomas Cook states that the recommendation should include language that a plan should not be
- 189 rejected for minor clerical issues.
- 190 A member of the public suggested some consistency on what constitutes a rejection since there
- had been a history of Person A rejecting a plan while Person B approved the same plan.
- The final language of the first recommendation, to be sent immediately to DDDS in light of the
- 193 December PROBIS schedule, read, "Behavioral Support Plans are to be desk reviewed by a
- member of PROBIS in the 2 weeks prior to a PROBIS meeting and any minor, technical
- corrections must be submitted back to the provider within 3 working days of the PROBIS meeting
- with the presumption of acceptance by the PROBIS committee upon corrections which may occur
- at the PROBIS meeting." The motion was made by Kyle Hodges and seconded by Laurel Strmel.
- 198 All in favor, no opposed with Marissa Catalon abstaining, the motion carried 10-0-1.
- 199 Representative Kevin S. Hensley, Senator Anthony Delcollo, and Roy LaFontaine were not present
- 200 for the vote.
- The second recommendation read, "A report from DDDS on behavioral support plans currently
- 202 outstanding and the progress towards their approval will be provided at each task force meeting."
- The motion was made by Kyle Hodges and seconded by Gary Cassedy. All in favor, no opposed

- with Marissa Catalon abstaining, the motion carried 10-0-1. Representative Kevin S. Hensley,
- Senator Anthony Delcollo, and Roy LaFontaine were not present for the vote.
- The third recommendation read, "A working group, comprised of DDDS and the provider
- community, will be established to redesign PROBIS and its operations." The motion was made by
- 208 Michele Mirabella and seconded by Laurel Strmel. All in favor, no opposed with Marissa Catalon
- 209 abstaining, the motion carried 10-0-1. Representative Kevin S. Hensley, Senator Anthony
- 210 Delcollo, and Roy LaFontaine were not present for the vote.
- 211 Co-Chair Hansen moved to the next item on the agenda, the discussion on two draft
- 212 recommendations provided by Gary Cassedy.
- 213 The first recommendation stated that DDDS always consider the question, "How can we
- collaborate with providers, families/guardians, and service recipients of DDDS in relation to this
- 215 issue?"
- During the discussion, Co-Chair Hansen stated that there was no longer a quorum, therefore, the
- 217 two draft recommendations would be placed on the next agenda.
- 218 Co-Chair Hansen adjourned the meeting at 3:35 p.m.
- 219 Respectfully prepared by:
- Amanda McAtee and Mark Brainard, Jr., JLOSC Analysts, Joint Legislative Oversight and Sunset
- 221 Committee.
- Access to the audio recording of this proceeding is available upon request.